

REMARKS

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action dated June 9, 2009, in which claims 19-27 were rejected.

I. CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 19-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter for which applicant regards as the invention.

Independent claims 19 and 25 and 26 contain references to at least one multicarrier data transmission signal, and the Examiner indicated that it is not clear if “the multicarrier signal” being formed is referring to the same signal.

In order to overcome the Examiner rejections, Applicant has replaced the expression “at least one multicarrier data transmission signal” by “a multicarrier data transmission signal”, and the expression “the multicarrier signal” by “the multicarrier data transmission signal” in the whole set of claims.

These amendments appear to overcome the rejection under §112.

The Director is authorized to charge any fee deficiency required by this paper or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 23-1123.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTMAN, CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A.

By: /David D. Brush/
David D. Brush, Reg. No. 34,557
900 Second Avenue South, Suite 1400
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-3319
Phone: (612) 334-3222 Fax: (612) 334-3312

DDB/dmm